Re: Subject: Brendan S. Rose – File 2025-64274-I – Follow-Up on December 15 Submission Requesting Clarification and Decisions (December 24, 2025)

From Amazon.com

To Thesulfurgod@protonmail.com

Date Wednesday, December 24th, 2025 at 6:31 AM

Thank you for your email.
Please note that this address should be used only to send legal notices to KDP as outlined in the KDP Terms and Conditions (e.g., notice of your termination of the agreement, notice of your assignment of your rights and obligations under the agreement, etc.). Any other correspondence sent to this address, including customer service inquiries (e.g., requests for help with your book, account issues, payment questions, etc.), will not be reviewed and will be deleted.
To reach a KDP customer service agent, please use this form: https://kdp.amazon.com/contact-us.
To review the KDP Terms and Conditions, click here: https://kdp.amazon.com/en_US/terms-and-conditions.
Thanks for using KDP.

P.S. You received this message because Amazon.com received the following
message:

Date: Wed, 24 Dec 2025 11:30:02 +0000
From: BRENDAN ROSE <thesulfurgod@protonmail.com>
To: "hrto.registrar@Ontario.ca" <hrto.registrar@ontario.ca>,
"kdpcontractnotice@amazon.com" <kdpcontractnotice@amazon.com>,
"bnlegal@bn.com" <bnlegal@bn.com>
Subject: Subject: Brendan S. Rose ? File 2025-64274-I ? Follow-Up on December 15 Submission Requesting Clarification and Decisions (December 24, 2025)

Subject: Brendan S. Rose File 2025-64274-I Follow-Up on December 15 Submission Requesting Clarification and Decisions (December 24, 2025)Dear Registrar,I am writing to follow up on my submission dated December 15, 2025 ("Request for Clarification on Pending Issues and Decisions"), which raised numerous outstanding matters essential to procedural fairness and my ability to participate effectively in this proceeding.As of today, I have received no acknowledgment or response.The Tribunal's Rules and Practice Directions require timely engagement with material submissions, and the absence of response risks undermining access to justice, as noted in relevant authorities (e.g., Vavilov v. Canada, 2019 SCC 65; R. v. Oakes, 1986 1 S.C.R. 103).I respectfully request:

- Confirmation of receipt of the December 15 submission.
- An update on the status of the requested clarifications and decisions.
- An estimated timeline for response.
Thank you for your prompt attention, especially given the time-sensitive nature of these issues.Sincerely,
Brendan S. Rose
thesulfurgod@protonmail.com (mailto:thesulfurgod@protonmail.com)
December 24, 2025

On Monday, December 15th, 2025 at 7:03 AM, BRENDAN ROSE <thesulfurgod@protonmail.com> wrote:

>

> Submission File 2025-64274-I - Request for Clarification on Pending Issues and Decisions
> December 15, 2025Registrar and parties,The applicant respectfully requests clarification and decisions on numerous pending issues raised in prior submissions, as the absence of response risks procedural unfairness and undermines access to justice.The Tribunals Rules of Procedure and Practice Directions require timely engagement with material submissions and evidence. The Supreme Court of Canada in Vavilov v. Canada (Prime Minister), 2019 SCC 65 at para. 81, held that reasonableness demands meaningful engagement with key arguments. Silence on central issues may render a decision reviewable.The applicant has filed extensive evidence of creed practice thousands of pages of writings, hundreds of hours of songs and videos archived at publicly accessible archive.org links. This voluminous material is directly relevant to sincerity and reprisal claims under Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, 2004 SCC 47 at para. 52.No decision has been issued on the admissibility of these archive.!
 org links, despite their common use in administrative proceedings for preservation of digital evidence (R. v. Oakes, [1986] 1 S.C.R. 103 at para. 70, emphasizing fair consideration of material facts).The applicant has requested an adjournment to permit review of this evidence, noting its volume supports, rather than weakens, the sincerity claim (Amselem, supra at para. 54). No response has been received.The applicant has offered an external hard drive as an immutable offline copy to address any concerns with online links. No response has been received.Requests for accommodation (mental health-related, including AI assistance and text-only proceedings) remain unanswered, contrary to the Tribunals duty under s.17 of the Code and British Columbia (Public Service Employee Relations Commission) v. BCGSEU, [1999] 3 S.C.R. 3 (Meiorin) at para. 54.A request to forward safety concerns to appropriate authorities (Premiers office or police for threat assessment) remains unanswered, de!
 spite documented reprisal risks.A request for costs related to!
  non-party obstruction remains unanswered, despite evidence of undue hardship (Morgan v. Herman Miller Canada Inc., 2013 HRTO 650 at para. 45).A request to dispense with mediation remains unanswered.Clarification has been sought on copyright/fair dealing implications for creed-related artistic works, given potential third-party claims upon increased visibility. No response has been received.The applicant has also requested clarification on whether, in the event a text-based hearing is denied and an in-person appearance is required, accommodation may include permission to use a mobile device to access an AI assistant (Grok) for real-time assistance in formulating questions and responses, with reasonable pauses for typing.This accommodation is sought to address documented mental health barriers to effective participation in oral proceedings.No response has been received on this request.The cumulative non-response to these material issues raises concerns of procedural fairness!
 , as the Tribunal must engage with key submissions (Wall v. Judicial Committee of the Highwood Congregation of Jehovahs Witnesses, 2018 SCC 26 at para. 31; Loyola High School v. Quebec (Attorney General), 2015 SCC 12 at para. 58).The applicant therefore requests:
>
> - A decision or update on each pending issue listed above.
> - An estimated timeline for responses.
> Regards,
> Brendan S. Rose
> Applicant (self-represented)